



## Asian Journal of Allied Health Sciences Faculty of Allied Health Sciences University of Lahore, Lahore Pakistan

Email: ajahs@ahs.uol.edu.pk

## **GUIDELINE FOR REVIEW OF ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## **SCORE GUIDE** (To be used by the Referee/Reviewer)

Not Given/Not Relevant	0
Below Standard	1
Average	2
Satisfactory	3
Good	
Excellent	5
Not Applicable	NA

Manuscript Reg. No...... Reviewer's Name.......

Part	Description of the Part of Manuscript	Score
No.		
1.	TITLE	
	1. Accurate	
	2. Effective	
	2 P	
	3. Represents	
2.	ABSTRACT	
	1. Size of abstract (250)	
	2. Summary of Introduction /Back ground	
	3. Material and Methods	
	4. Results	
	5. Conclusion	
	6. Keyword(3-5)	
3.	A) CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE	
	B) FUNDING SOURCES ACKNOWLEDGED	

4.	INTRODUCTION	
	1. If Start Relevant	
	2. Subject of Paper Made Clear	
	3. Importance of Study Wade Clear	
	4. Reasons for the Study Stated / rationale	
	5. International Literature of past 05 years Recorded	
	6. National Literature Reviewed and Recorded	
	7. Reference appropriate	
	8. Word count	
5.	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	
<i>J</i> .	Are clearly stated	
	Are clearly stated	
	Are addressed in the study	
6.	Material and Methods	
	1. Population of uniform standard	
	2. Sample selection criteria reliable	
	3. Inclusion/Exclusion criteria reliable	
	4. Control within the study or literature given	••••••
	5. Important variable Measurement Criteria specified	•••••
	6. Criteria to measure outcome relevant	•••••
	7. Investigation/Procedure/Technique clearly given	•••••
	8. Ethical permissions (IRB) informed consent mentioned	
7.	Results and analysis of results	
, .		
	2. Data satisfies objectives of the study	
	3. All subjects/variable in the study accounted for	
	4. Results reported the drop out (if present)	
	5. Results presented in Free	
	6. Results presented with Figures & graphs	
	7. Appropriate statistical test applied	•••••
	8. Data Analysis correctly Interpreted	
	9. Level of significance (preferred less than 0.1%)	

	T		
8.	Discussion 1. Important findings and results discussed		
	2. New emerging findings discussed		
	3. Results of the study discussed with others in literature		
	4. Questions raised in the study		
	5. Strengths mentioned		
	6. Weakness mentioned		
	7. Take away message		
		•••••	
9.	Conclusions 1. Conclusions justified by the results/findings		
	2. Conclusions relevant to the aims and objectives of the study		
	2 Carabaian haadan wiisdan		
	3. Conclusions based on critical argument		
	Written English		
10.	1. Spellings		
	2. Grammar		
	Total: _		
REPORT (State clearly approved/advised revision/rejected)			
Reviewe	r Signature	Date	